How Internal Quality Assurance System is Redefined in Private Universities – A Case of Srinivas University, India

Nethravathi P. S.¹, & P. S. Aithal²

¹ Professor, Institute of Computer and Information Sciences, Srinivas University, Mangalore, India.

Orcid ID: 0000-0001-5447-8673; Email ID: nethrakumar590@gmail.com

² Professor, Institute of Management & Commerce, Srinivas University, Mangalore, India, Orcid ID: 0000-0002-4691-8736; Email ID: <u>psaithal@gmail.com</u>

Area/Section: Higher Education. Type of the Paper: Research-based Case study. Type of Review: Peer Reviewed as per <u>COPE</u> guidance. Indexed in: OpenAIRE. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7790878</u> Google Scholar Citation: IJMTS

How to Cite this Paper:

Nethravathi, P. S., & Aithal, P. S., (2023). How Internal Quality Assurance System is Redefined in Private Universities – A Case of Srinivas University, India. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS), 8*(1), 234-248. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7790878</u>

International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS) A Refereed International Journal of Srinivas University, India.

CrossRef DOI: https://doi.org/10.47992/IJMTS.2581.6012.0266

Received on: 08/02/2023 Published on: 31/03/2023

© With Authors.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial 4.0 International License subject to proper citation to the publication source of the work. **Disclaimer:** The scholarly papers as reviewed and published by Srinivas Publications (S.P.), India are the views and opinions of their respective authors and are not the views or opinions of the SP. The SP disclaims of any harm or loss caused due to the published content to any party.



How Internal Quality Assurance System is Re-defined in Private Universities – A Case of Srinivas University, India

Nethravathi P. S.¹, & P. S. Aithal²

¹ Professor, Institute of Computer and Information Sciences, Srinivas University, Mangalore, India,

Orcid ID: 0000-0001-5447-8673; Email ID: nethrakumar590@gmail.com

² Professor, Institute of Management & Commerce, Srinivas University, Mangalore, India, Orcid ID: 0000-0002-4691-8736; Email ID: <u>psaithal@gmail.com</u>

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To study and assess about how the Internal Quality Assurance System can be redefined and restructured in Private universities and their effect on the overall quality of teaching and learning environment.

Methodology: A case study is taken from Srinivas university. All the required information is taken from the mentioned University. Also, a few research papers are taken from Google scholar based on which a literature review is done

Results and Outcome: The study leads to an observation and conclusion about redefining Internal Quality Assurance System in Private Universities and also its effects on various domains in the existing system. It is also seen that the redefined quality leads to satisfaction, delight, and Excellence. It also becomes a foundation for NAAC Quality Initiatives

Originality: A research based case study on analysis of the Internal Quality Assurance system of Srinivas University.

Type of Paper: *Research based Case study.*

Keywords: Internal quality assurance system, IQAS, Private university, Srinivas university, Academic quality improvement, Administrative quality improvement, ABCD analysis.

1. INTRODUCTION :

Quality is a major issue in business management for both products and services in all industries. The quality of a product or a service decides satisfaction. In the higher education industry, service quality decides student satisfaction. Higher education institutions invest their resources including time to provide better quality in education services.

It is known that the Internal quality assurance cell (IQAC) and its contribution to quality improvement in higher education institutions are responsible for identifying and maintaining quality in higher education services [1-2].

National Assessment and Accreditation (NAAC) system in India stresses on planning organizing, supporting, maintaining, and controlling the internal quality of an HEI through IQAC and the advantages, benefits, constraints, and disadvantages are analysed by various researchers [3-6]. IQAC-based Internal Quality Assurance System is essential to provide quality in education and research services of all six infrastructures [7-8] as depicted in Table 1.

S.	Infrastructure	Quality Components	IQAC Responsibility to maintain		
No.			quality facilities		
1	Physical	Basic facilities,	Classrooms, Laboratories, Sports &		
	Infrastructure	Comfortable facilities,	games facilities,		
		Dreamy desirable facilities			
2	Digital	Basic, Comfortable, and	ICT enabled classrooms, Digital		
	Infrastructure	dreamy desirable digital	Library, Wi-Fi campus, Online		
		facilities on the campus and	admission, fee payment, e-		
		for the online programmes	communication between		
			stakeholders, digital examination &		

Table 1: Quality initiatives in Higher education system based on Various infrastructures



			evaluation, online placement & alumni support,
3	Curriculum & Teaching-Learning Infrastructure	Suitable and attractive teaching-learning pedagogies, Examination and evaluation models & systems	Effectiveness of teaching-learning pedagogy, Opportunities for student- teacher interaction, student mentoring, Environment for development of knowledge, skills, experience, character, and hence students' confidence.
4	Intellectual Property Infrastructure	Effective and innovative teachers as role models, and contribution of the institute for creating IPRs useful to the society.	Intellectuals to create new knowledge, new interpretation of existing knowledge, innovative products and services, Focus and supports to IPR creation, etc.
5	Emotional Infrastructure	Stakeholders' emotions and belongingness with the institution	By providing, security, safety, counselling, co-curricular and extra- curricular activities, identifying & encouraging individual talents through services that provide student satisfaction, student delight, and student enlightenment
6	Networking Infrastructure	Cordial relationship with surrounding industries and alumni of the institution	By creating an eco-system with related industries for projects, internships, apprenticeships, and placement. Support from alumni both for financial and non-financial contribution.

India, being a developing country is now focussing on enhancing its gross enrolment ratio (GER) towards 50% during the next 10 years, and is encouraged private universities to grow in the country to more than 50%. But private universities, being self-financing universities, can sustain only if they provide quality higher education [9-12]. In this regard, it is essential to identify and analyse the quality of services offered by universities in the higher education space. In this paper, we have analysed "How Internal Quality Assurance System is Re-defined in Private Universities" by considering the case study of Srinivas University, Mangalore, India.

2. OBJECTIVES OF THE PAPER :

The objectives of the paper are as follows:

(1) To discuss and analyse the various Quality Assurance strategies and processes that are prevalent in Private Universities.

(2) To study the various ways of evaluating the Teaching-Learning Process in Private Universities.

(3) To know about the assessment of Learning outcomes at periodic intervals of time for better sustainability

(4) To discuss and analyse Integrated Performance Metrics of Private Universities and their importance with special reference to Srinivas University

(5) To assess the best Quality Assurance Practices of Srinivas University.

(6) To brief about the various Quality enhancement initiatives to be planned by Private Universities and their implications thereafter.

(7) To understand the Best Practices & Super-innovations in Internal Quality Assurance of Srinivas University.

(8) To present an ABCD analysis of IQAC from various Stakeholders' perspectives.

3. REVIEW OF LITERATURE :

In order to understand the various aspects of Internal Quality Assurance System prevailing in Educational institutions and their way of operating and subsequent consequences of defining and

redefining them in Autonomous Colleges and Private Universities, a systematic literature study is done as mentioned in the following table 2.

Table 2: Literature	review on Internal	l Quality Assurance Systems related scho	olarly publications of
HEIs			

S. No.	Field of Research	Focus	Reference
1.	State of Internal quality assurance of Higher education in Indonesia	A case study of theInternalQualityAssurance at the StateUniversityofGorontalo	Haris, I. (2013). [13]
2.	Importance of having assessment framework	National assessment framework and its necessity for quality assessment	Dill, D. (2007). [14]
3.	Formation of body of Internal Quality Assurance with various domains and factors	A framework with nine domains is discussed	Jingura, R. M., & Kamusoko, R. (2019). [15]
4.	Focus on pragmatism and how it helps to understand external and internal quality assurance	A case study to prove that education quality is guided by pragmatism	Ansah, F. (2015). [16]
5.	Study on how the different roles of stakeholders are related to the governance models of different countries	Notion of Stakeholder theory	Leisyte, L., & Westerheijden, D. F. (2014). [17]
6.	Assessing students' experiences of internal quality assurance practices of private Higher Education Institutions	ResponsesfromstudentsofGardenCityUniversityCollege and ChristianServiceUniversityCollege in Ghana	Essel, H. B., Boakye- Yiadom, M., & Kyeremeh, F. A. (2018). [18]
7.	Identification of important variables that helps to implement an effective Quality Assurance	Analysing the quality assurance within Italian universities	Agasisti, T., Barbato, G., Dal Molin, M., & Turri, M. (2019). [19]
8.	Transformation that has to be undergone by higher education institutions toward sustainable development	To define a new role for higher education in the 21st century	Fadeeva, Z., Galkute, L., Mader, C., & Scott, G. (2014). [20]
9.	Identification and discussion of some of the key environmental factors through which public management and <u>private</u> <u>sector</u> philosophies are brought into universities	Factors that alter a university's missions, core values, strategies, structures and academic identity	Parker, L. (2011). [21]
10.	Importance of learners' objectives, students' needs, and skills and how it is sought by employers in the fourth industrial revolution	A review of higher education institutions and their move towards the fourth industrial revolution	Atiku, S. O., & Boateng, F. (2020). [22]

4. QUALITY ASSURANCE STRATEGIES AND PROCESSES :

Educational quality is a multifaceted concept that consists of all functions and activities such as teaching and academic programs, research and scholarship, staff, students, buildings, facilities, equipment, community services and academic environment. The quality of the education system (primary, secondary and tertiary institutions) means the extent to which the education system has achieved its set goals. This means that the educational system's deliverables can solve the country's environmental and social needs. Quality therefore means the standard of one thing compared to another [23]. This is an area which needs contribution from all the stakeholders. Higher education institutions are allowed to hold regular assessments of their existing system. This can be carried out by system audit. Audits can be done by Internal agencies or External agencies. An educational institution that maintains, develops, and improves its own quality through such audits will have sustained growth [24].

Hence, it is evident that Quality assurance audit is an important aspect through which education institutions can enhance their quality. This can be done with the help of self-assessment and also through assessments by external agencies and bodies. The Quality Assurance Strategies and processes are brought into effective practice by the Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) of an academic institution. In a Private University like Srinivas University, the IQAC has contributed significantly in the following area thus setting a benchmark for Quality Assurance Strategies and Processes.

(1) Formation of Regulation, Curriculum and syllabus

(2) Accreditation and Ranking.

(3) Development and application of quality benchmarks/ parameters for various academic and administrative activities of the institution.

(4) Organization of inter and intra institutional workshops, seminars on quality related themes and promotion of quality circles.

(5) Preparation of Annual Quality Assurance Report (AQAR) as per guidelines and parameters for submission to NAAC.

(6) The IQAC reports are periodically circulated amongst the stake holders for suggestions and feedback. The reports are also uploaded in the official website of the Institute.

(7) Facilitated the students to pursue special internship at reputed academic institution abroad / industry.

(8) Setting and monitoring of Institution Transformation Key Performance Indicators (KPI's) of department /school at regular intervals.

(9) Successful implementation of evening Re-do / Pre-do courses and supplementary examinations for the benefit of students.

(10) Implemented conduct of two BOS meeting by departments / schools to offer significant change in respective curriculum and syllabus and to bring model AICTE curriculum for implementation from the academic year 2017-18.

4.1 Reviewing the teaching-learning process :

One of the important qualities of a good teacher is the sense of responsibility in the process of teaching - learning. This not only includes the subject knowledge but also requires qualities such as curriculum improvement, technology integration to refine, redefine and support classroom interaction [25]. There are five teaching strategies. These are direct instruction, adjunct instruction, facilitating the skills of learning, facilitating social skills and widening learners' horizons [26]. Role of a teacher or facilitator is the key point in teaching learning process. Hence, this calls for a long term association and integral commitment from them to foresee a good teaching learning process. Apart from this, there is also a necessity to improve and introduce various other processes and techniques of teaching from the teachers perspective.

A private university can review its teaching learning process, structures & methodologies of operations and learning outcomes at periodic intervals through IQAC. At Srinivas University, the reviewing of teaching-learning process is done in the following ways:

(1) In order to enhance the effectiveness of teaching & learning and to bring out more and more skill based employable graduates, various learning processes such as project-based learning, self-learning, industrial internship, Industry Assisted Project Work and peer assisted learning are introduced at various levels.

(2) Project based learning is introduced for both theory and lab courses. This will end up in better understanding of the concept and also enables the students to apply their knowledge in realtime environment.



(3) Qualified and Proficient faculty from other universities including foreign Universities and industry professionals are inducted in all Departments either to teach one credit course or part of the courses to expose the students about Industry perspective and also provide a global perspective.

4.2 Structures & methodologies of operations :

Quality Education can be achieved by developing quality assurance methods through which periodic review, monitoring and assessment of programs and activities can be done. These not only assess Curricular aspects such as syllabus, Program outcomes, etc. but should also assess quality of teachers, infrastructure available, resources available to learners, transparency and mode of operation of an educational institute. It should also assess if the operations are as per the review of legislature [27].

Accreditation and Recognition are the two major methodologies and structures in Indian Educational scenario which monitors the quality of Education. Accreditation is of two types– institution-level and programme-level. Institution-level accreditation reviews the overall processes and quality of an institution, whereas programme-level accreditation reviews specific programmes within institutions. The results of accreditation status have significant impact on many aspects of Educational Institutions [28].

In India, review and monitoring of Educational Institutions and Courses are done by Accrediting bodies and Ranking bodies. The first level of accreditation is Institution level; Second one being Programme level. These are done by Accrediting bodies such as UGC, AICTE and NAAC. Programme level accreditation is done by boards such as NABCB, NABET NABL, NABH, NBQP, NBA to name a few. The notable Ranking bodies include NIRF and IIRF [28].

The operating strategies and methods of assuring quality standards is a challenging task. At Srinivas University, the following methodologies is being adapted to enhance the quality standards and also keep up the existing standards.

(1) A decision has been taken to go for programme-wise accreditation for all eligible programmes. This will enhance the quality of the educational practices by benchmarking with some of the renowned educational institutions.

(2) NBA accreditation for various programmes is a way of assuring quality standards. As a result, at present 17 UG programmes and 4 PG programmes are accredited by NBA at Srinivas University.

(3) An Institute can participate in International Rankings and national Ranking for various courses offered. The rules and regulations and also various parameters involved in each of the Ranking frameworks has to be shared with the faculty members so as to ensure scoring of high ranks.

4.3 Learning outcomes at periodic intervals :

With the continuous growth and expansion of Educational Institutions, accreditation bodies and Ranking framework alone are not sufficient to assess the progress. Critical evaluation has to start from a much lower level. Shifting the focus onto student learning outcomes and evaluating it goes beyond many traditional structures and methods. There is an absolute necessity to discover suitable methods to do this [29].

The learning outcomes has to be continuously monitored at periodic intervals of time. This becomes the beginning of implementing various quality assurance strategies and also provides a platform and vision to improve them. At University level, monitoring quality assurance strategies is very vital. Srinivas University has a very unique model of doing this activity with the help of conducting Class committee meetings.

IQAC at Srinivas University Conducts Class committee meeting for effective monitoring of teachinglearning process, structures & methodologies of operations and learning outcomes at periodic Intervals of time. Generally, this meeting is conducted at least three times during the semester. The class committee has the following responsibilities:

- To decide the weightage for each component of the assessment.
- To review the student's performance in each of the components and also take a follow up action.
- To take suggestions from all student members about improvising teaching learning process.
- To decide the grades of the student based on all components of the assessment.



5. INTEGRATED PERFORMANCE METRICS:

'Knowledge transfer' is one of the major objectives of Education in India. One of the ways to do this by Performance Management. Performance management is defined as a step by step process which sees to that Institutions can meet their goals in an effective and efficient manner through organizing their resources and process according to their objectives [30].

The IQAC in Srinivas University works efficiently towards the overall growth of the University through continuous surveys and analysis for excellent performance metrics. The areas in which the IQAC focuses are as given in Table 3:

S. No.	Details	Description
1	Atomic Research Centre	Monitoring the formation of the ARC members.
	(ARC)	Submission of proposals
2	Teaching-Learning Process	Project Centric Learning (PCL) related
	(Advanced)	work/Preparation (numbers and hours)
		Asynchronous class engagements
		Student Mentoring Work (number of students with
		records maintained
3	Digital Learning enablement	MOOCs, Online Contents Development (number of
		courses)/ Coding quiz, virtual labs
4	Assessments	Assessment's frequency
		Competence assessments for Placements
		Remedial and Bridge courses
5	Evaluation	Preparation hours for classes, Evaluation and
		Examination
6	Research and Scholarship	Research (in all forms like publication, patents, funded
		projects value etc.)
7	Institution Building	Consultancy & Training value and organizing seminar/
		conferences (numbers)
		Institution Building/ Academic Admin/
		Coordination between colleges
8	Value differentiation	Industry engagement
		Innovative Pedagogies
9	Self-development	Continuing Professional Development (CPD)/ FDPs in
	_	hours
		Upskilling
10	Srinivas University Core-	Teaching skills, Unit design psychological needs, etc.
	value based confidence	
	building	

Table 3: Integrated Performance Metrics

6. QUALITY ASSURANCE PRACTICES :

Quality assurance is the collection and implementation of actions, policies, and procedures that are required to ensure that Quality is maintained [31]. In order to set up quality standards, a benchmark is required which acts as a standard of performance. The benchmarks act as quality indicators for all the activities and assessment that takes place.

While setting up Quality Assurance strategies is a diligent process, assessing them is an equally challenging and interesting task. Srinivas University has a strong IQAC Cell which performs all its tasks diligently. A few of them are as follows:

6.1 Academic Administrative Audit (AAA) and follow up action taken:

IQAC monitors the teaching and learning process thoroughly. Various Audit Committees are set up to do the evaluation task. First, an orientation program is conducted by the Cell for all staff to explain the various needs and requirements while teaching. Then the Cell conducts regular reviews of this process.



Feedback is given to the staff who do not live up to the mark of the IQAC requirements. Finally, a report is generated to display the success of the yearly academic audit. The various Academic Audit Committee set up by the IQAC of Srinivas University and their roles are as follows:

(i) Department Academic Audit Committee (DAAC):

This committee constitutes members from the senior faculty of the department. The roles of this committee is

- To ensure the quality of the question paper, subject wise experts are allotted from the committee members.
- The faculty checks the correlation of the question paper with Course outcome.
- Confirm that the question paper can be answered within the allotted time.
- Enough problems and applications related to the courses are given.
- Verify the text and layout of the question paper, marking instructions.

(ii) External Academic Audit Committee (EAAC):

This committee is made up of experts from reputed institutions and their core task includes

- Ensuring the fair evaluation of Answer Scripts
- Confirming all questions of all parts answered are evaluated and then give the feedback.

HOD then conducts a faculty meeting to communicate the feedback / suggestions.

6.2 Conferences, Seminars, Workshops on quality conducted:

At the start of each academic year, IQAC hosts an orientation for newly hired and existing staff to explain how IQAC operates. Various colleges also conducts conferences, seminars, and workshops to share the information needed to know more about "Quality" and NAAC requirements. In Srinivas University, the number of such Conferences, Seminars, and Workshops held has shown a considerable rise over the years. This is shown in the following table 4.

Year	2017 -18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Number	27	30	38	46	47

Table 4: Conferences & workshops on quality conducted [32]

The table and graph here give an encouraging conclusion that every year more and more number of such events are conducted on "Quality" and NAAC requirements. It is also seen that number of such events conducted has increased in the past two years. Hence this undoubtedly is a feature of Srinivas University which is making it grow and reach heights of success.

6.3 Collaborative quality initiatives with other institution (s):

Regular interactions and sharing of best practices needs to be done if an institution has to define a framework for quality attributes and factors. In this regard, Srinivas University conducts regular benchmarking with other colleges to discuss the quality work done by each and to share the best practices between each college. MOUs are signed between many Industries and Educational institutions in and around Mangalore. The number of MoUs with various industries and institutions and training centers are mentioned in the following table 5.

Table 5: MoU with industries, institutions & training centers [32]

Year	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Number	19	24	35	52	80

Again here we see a steep rise in the number of MOUs signed over the years at Srinivas University. This is a very good sign for a flourishing University thus giving us an insight into how Industries, Institutions and training centres are ready to collaborate with a University because of the Quality.

6.4 Orientation programme on quality issues for teachers and students:

Defining strategies for Quality assurance for an Educational Institute especially for a University is a big task as it is. However, making the stakeholders realise its importance is even more a bigger task. This issue is very well handled and realised by Srinivas University. The IQAC at Srinivas University has

several initiatives proposed and implemented in this regard. The Cell monitors the teaching and learning process thoroughly. The Cell begins by conducting an orientation programme for all staff, in which it clarifies the various needs and requirements associated with teaching. All quality issues are discussed and explained. Procedures to maintain documents, files, and softcopies of all necessary documents related to teaching, assessment, exams, etc., procedures are maintained. The following data (table 6) tells us about the number of such Orientation programmes conducted for teachers and students.

Table 6: Orientation programmes [32]

2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
30	36	40	44	46

It is observed that the number of orientation programs on quality issues for different stakeholders held at Srinivas University has increased over the years. This is considered as a factor for improvement as an orientation program at right time and right level will be effective.

7. PARTICIPATION IN QUALITY AUDIT SUCH AS NIRF AND ISO :

The National Institute Ranking Framework (NIRF) is a MHRD initiative proposed on 29th September 2015. The main purpose of NIRF ranking framework is to:

Quality enhancement initiatives to be planned:

For an Educational Institution to progress and become established, proper planning of Quality enhancement initiatives is required. This can be taken as a key factor for the success and failure of all Educational Institutions. Whenever proper quality enhancement initiatives are planned, the Institution is said to be having an efficient framework of quality evaluation. At Srinivas University, Quality enhancement initiatives are planned under two separate domains namely,

- Academic domain
- Administrative domain

7.1 Academic Domain:

At Srinivas University, the management strives to deliver comprehensive, continually enhanced, global quality professional education through an established quality management system complemented by the synergistic interaction of the stakeholders concerned. The management also strives to communicate this policy to all the persons at all levels so that this policy becomes a working reality within the organization. The quality policy has contributed to institutionalizing the quality assurance processes in the following three areas:

- (1) Teacher's Quality
- (2) Delivery of the Curriculum
- (3) Strengthening of Research Activities by making all faculty to go for compulsory registrations in various Programmes
- (4) Skill Development Programmes
- (5) Compulsorily every faculty should have at least one Swayam program certificate every year
- (6) Value added course along with curriculum

The outcome-based Education Framework has been initiated with extensive training sessions for the faculty. Definition of the programme as well as programme-specific outcomes and the course outcomes has been accomplished along with the necessary mapping of their relationships. Faculty development and training programmes are being intensified.

Through project-based courses and the promotion of inter-disciplinary research, multidisciplinary academic programmes are bolstered.

The regular meeting has been scheduled at the institution level to discuss the various quality services to the student community to understand to -

- 1. Discuss the various aspects of the quality services to the students
- 2. Discuss the various quality services to the student community
- 3. Take appropriate action

Meeting outcomes are visible in the following documents.

 \checkmark Agenda of the meeting



- ✓ Meeting Resolution
- \checkmark Action taken

7.2 Administrative domains:

Many reforms have been introduced in the administrative domain in State-of-the-art information systems, remote teaching-learning, online resources, flip classrooms, blended learning facilities had all been introduced. Remarkable improvements were made to sports facilities.

The existing online feedback system was strengthened and the E-Governance in admission, administration, and Finance stands testimony to the ICT-enabled initiatives of the University. Online gateways for all student-related domains like fee payment and many more have been strengthened to a great extent.

Examination reforms are undertaken as per the guidelines and linking assessments to outcomes. Full continuous assessment was implemented for practical and laboratory courses. During the pandemic, the University migrated to the online mode with ICT-enabled learning and assessment, with due emphasis on quality.

- (1) Short term Strategic Plan
- (2) Automation of Administrative Processes
- (3) Introduce Technology in the classroom ICT tech online platform
- (4) Innovation in evaluation system fast results, make up examination once in a semester
- (5) Effort for green campus initiatives, solar, etc.

8. BEST PRACTICES IN INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE OF UNIVERSITIES :

Internal Quality Assurance Cell should be established in all universities and a general framework needs to be prepared by taking the opinion of all stakeholders. Every institution/college in the university needs to be given an opportunity to develop and implement their own system too but within the vision and mission of the university. Furthermore, such mechanisms would make the faculty members to share and learn from each other, publicize good practices and to appreciate the achievements and contributions of one another. Also, this will create a sense of responsibility and pave way for a more structured way of implementing things and events. In a nutshell, In the case of Srinivas University, the activities and best practices of IQAC at University level is to :

- Manage and coordinate activities within the University/Institution;
- Maintain good contact with UGC/NAAC and other external assessing agencies;
- Helping the Institutions to take part in various QA Reviews/Audits and further to take follow-up action;
- Helping the concerned departments to prepare an Institutional Self-evaluation report
- Conduct and organise sessions and awareness programmes on QA to all Faculties and Departments
- Make sure the Academic Regulations and all By-Laws are in place, if not make recommendations for remedial action.

9. TWLEVE SUPER-INNOVATIONS OF SRINIVAS UNIVERSITY :

Srinivas University has The twelve super-innovations and adopted in the University are :

(1) Integrated Student Development Model (ISDM): Srinivas University Student Development Model with ten components for future industry-oriented Choice based courses & Curriculum [33].

(2) Integrated Student Service Model (ISSM): Srinivas University Integrated Student-Centred Service Model with ten components of all-round student support [34].

(3) Student-Centred Examination & Evaluation Model (SCEEM): Automated Student-Centred Examination and Competency-based continuous Evaluation system with continuous evaluation focus [35].

(4) Faculty API: Development & Implementation of Faculty API Based Compensation & Accountability [36].

(5) Promotion of Ideal Publication Model: Design & Implementation of Ideal Publication Model for Ranking, 21st Century to the Researchers and to help them to come out from the clutches of International Publication Mafia [37].



(6) Atomic Research Centres (ARCs): Concept of Introducing Atomic Research Centres as Micro Research Units & Micro-Incubation Centres with every individual faculty Co-ordinatorship [38].

(7) Research Professors: Use of retired Professors as Ph.D. Guides to create Opportunities for Research Scholars & to improve Universities Research GER of the Countries [39].

(8) Micro Incubation Centres (MICs): Every faculty member is a consultancy co-ordinator for a Technology/Business Incubation Centre to identify, use, and promote new technology/ new business incubators for a group of student members. Training and development of constructive ideas are done through a virtual space using Teachmint classroom/Training space platform [40].

(9) Online Ubiquitous Placement Support: All students in their final year and six months after graduation gets continuous support for job placement, progressing to higher education, and Starting own business through Online and Offline [41].

(10) SU Ubiquitous Digital Library (SU UDL): Anywhere, Anytime, and Any amount of time providing library information through Digital library and providing copies of Research articles, Book Chapters, and Plagiarism checking support from any corner of the world within three days through our "one country-one library" network [42].

(11) Collaborations & Consultations Model (CCM): Internal and External Collaborations for admissions, Education & Training, Earn-While-Learn Jobs, Internship & Apprenticeship, Research & Graduates Placement [43].

(12) Open Access Research Output Model (OAROM): Conferences with compulsory open access Proceedings, Ph.D. Coursework with compulsory scholarly publications, University Open Access Free Journal & Books Publications, University supported Patents & Copyrights for Faculties & Students [44].

10. ABCD ANALYSIS OF IQAC IN UNIVERSITIES AS STAKEHOLDER ANALYSIS :

The qualitative ABCD analysis comprises of listing the advantages, benefits, constraints, and disadvantages of the considered issue from different stakeholders' points of view [45-50]. The various stakeholders identified in Internal Quality Assurance Cell and all its tasks are Students, Alumni, Faculty, Parents, and Employers. ABCD listing is used as qualitative analysis in many scholarly research articles for the interpretation of concepts, issues, strategies, products, services, materials, etc [51-60]. The ABCD listing from various stakeholders' points of view is listed in table 7.

Stakeholder	Parameter	Advantages	Benefits	Constraints	Disadvantages
Students and Alumni	Objective	Exposure to various avenues	Variety of interactions and scenarios	Confused paths for selection	Very less
	Productivity	More emphasis given to self	More of learning will take place	Continuation in one expertise continues	Market wants diversified experts
Faculty	Objective	Research policies	More number of publications	Less specialization	Very less collaborations
	Productivity	Research work increases	More publications	Limited research areas	Very less chances of elaborative research
Parents	Objective	Future safety and security of their wards	Job security and Bright future	Training and Information	Very less
	Productivity	More Emphasis to Institutions	More of learning	Stream based expertise	Job market imbalance

Table 7: ABCD listing from various stakeholders points of view



Employers	Objective	Helps in continuation of hiring process and tie ups with the institution	Highly skilled and industry ready students	Competition from fellow employers	Emoluments have to be revised from time to time
	Productivity	Continued agreement will increase productivity	Less investment needed	Limited resources	No new exposure

11. CONCLUSION :

The case study of Srinivas university includes the analysis of various Quality Assurance strategies and processes that are prevalent in Private Universities, the effective way of implementing learner-centered teaching-learning, and evaluation methods, assessment of Learning outcomes at periodic intervals of time for better sustainability, Integrated Performance Metrics of Private Universities, and their importance. The best Quality Assurance Practices of Srinivas University and various Quality enhancement initiatives by the universities and their implications are also discussed. The Best Practices & Super-innovations in Internal Quality Assurance of Universities are listed. Finally, the advantages, benefits, constraints, and disadvantages (ABCD) of IQAC of Srinivas university from the various Stakeholders perspectives are analysed.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Aithal, P. S. (2015). Internal quality assurance cell and its contribution to quality improvement in higher education institutions: A case of SIMS. *GE-International Journal of Management Research*, *3*(1), 70-83. <u>Google Scholar ×</u>
- [2] Srivastava, M., & Sahoo, P. K. (2012). Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC): A Step Towards Quality Assurance in Higher Education. *Learning Community-An International Journal of Educational and Social Development*, 3(1), 65-71. Google Scholar №
- [3] Aithal, P. S., Shailashree, V., & Kumar, P. M. (2016). Analysis of NAAC Accreditation System using ABCD framework. *International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering*, 6(1), 30-44. <u>Google Scholar ×</u>
- [4] Kumar, P. M. (2017). Academic audit and quality assurance in higher education. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS)*, 2(2), 61-68. <u>Google Scholar ×</u>
- [5] Aithal, P. S., & Kumar, P. M. (2016). Maintaining teacher quality in higher education institutions. *International Journal of Current Research and Modern Education (IJCRME)*. 1(1), 701-711. Google Scholar №
- [7] Mazurkiewicz, M., Liuta, O. V., & Kyrychenko, K. I. (2017). Internal Quality Assurance System for the Higher Education: Experience of Ukraine and Poland. <u>Google Scholar →</u>
- [7] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2019). Building world-class universities: Some insights & predictions. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS)*, 4(2), 13-35. <u>Google Scholar</u>X
- [8] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2019, October). Essential infrastructures for world-class universities. In Proceedings of National Conference on Research in Higher Education, Learning and Administration, 1(1), 01-23. Google Scholarx
- [9] Ranjan, R. (2014). Private universities in India and quality of education. *International Journal of Humanities Social Sciences and Education (IJHSSE)*, 1(9), 140-144. <u>Google Scholar ×</u>²
- [10] Aithal, P. S., & Kumar, P. M. (2016). Opportunities and challenges for private universities in India. *International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering*, 6(1), 88-113. <u>Google Scholar ×</u>
- [11] Angom, S. (2015). Private higher education in India: A study of two private universities. *Higher Education for the future*, 2(1), 92-111. <u>Google Scholar ≯</u>



- [12] Aithal, P. S., & Revathi, R. (2017). Comparison of private universities in India based on NIRF ranking and fee charging strategies. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT and Education (IJCSBE)*, 1(2), 72-85. Google Scholar №
- [13] Haris, I. (2013). Assessment on the implementation of internal quality assurance at higher education (an Indonesian report). *Journal of Educational and Instructional studies in the* world, 3(4), 45-49. <u>Google Scholar ×</u>
- [14] Dill, D. (2007). Quality assurance in higher education: Practices and issues. *in-Chief Barry McGaw, Eva Baker and Penelope P. Peterson, Elsevier Publications*. <u>Google Scholar ≯</u>
- [15] Jingura, R. M., & Kamusoko, R. (2019). A competency framework for internal quality assurance in higher education. *International Journal of Management in Education*, 13(2), 119-132. <u>Google</u> <u>Scholar</u>[×]
- [16] Ansah, F. (2015). Conceptualising external and internal quality assurance in higher education: A pragmatist perspective. *International Journal of African Higher Education*, 2(1). <u>Google</u> <u>Scholar</u> A <u>Scholar</u> <u>A</u> <u>Scholar</u> A <u>Scholar</u> <u>A</u> <u>Scholar</u> <u>Scholar</u> <u>Scholar</u> <u>A</u> <u>Scholar</u> <u>A</u> <u>Scholar</u> <u>A</u> <u>Scholar</u> <u>A</u> <u>Scholar</u> <u>Scholar</u> <u>Scholar</u> <u>Scholar</u> <u>Scholar</u> <u>Scholar</u> <u>Scholar</u> <u>Scholar</u> <u>A</u> <u>Scholar</u> <u>Scho</u>
- [17] Leisyte, L., & Westerheijden, D. F. (2014). Stakeholders and quality assurance in higher education. In *Drivers and barriers to achieving quality in higher education* (pp. 83-97). Brill Sense. <u>Google</u> <u>Scholar</u>X
- [18] Essel, H. B., Boakye-Yiadom, M., & Kyeremeh, F. A. (2018). Assessing students' experiences of internal quality assurance practices in selected private higher education institutions. *International Journal of Science and Research*, 7(2), 804-809. <u>Google Scholarx</u>
- [19] Agasisti, T., Barbato, G., Dal Molin, M., & Turri, M. (2019). Internal quality assurance in universities: does NPM matter?. *Studies in Higher Education*, 44(6), 960-977. <u>Google Scholar</u> ≯
- [20] Fadeeva, Z., Galkute, L., Mader, C., & Scott, G. (2014). Assessment for transformation—higher education thrives in redefining quality systems. In Sustainable development and quality assurance in higher education (pp. 1-22). Palgrave Macmillan, London. <u>Google Scholar≯</u>
- [21] Parker, L. (2011). University corporatisation: Driving redefinition. *Critical perspectives on accounting*, 22(4), 434-450. Google Scholar ×
- [22] Atiku, S. O., & Boateng, F. (2020). Rethinking education system for the fourth industrial revolution. In *Human capital formation for the fourth industrial revolution* (pp. 1-17). IGI Global. <u>Google Scholar ×</u>
- [23] Nwakpa, P. (2016). Monitoring and Evaluation Strategies for Quality Control Assurance in Tertiary Educational Institutions in Ebonyi State. Asian Journal of Applied Sciences, 4(6). Google Scholar≯
- [24] Bejan, S. A., Janatuinen, T., Jurvelin, J., Klöpping, S., Malinen, H., Minke, B., & Vacareanu, R. (2015). Quality assurance and its impact from higher education institutions' perspectives: methodological approaches, experiences and expectations. *Quality in Higher Education*, 21(3), 343-371. Google Scholar ×
- [25] Syafril, S., Rahayu, T., Al-Munawwarah, S. F., Satar, I., Halim, L. B., Yaumas, N. E., & Pahrudin, A. (2021, February). Mini review: Improving teachers' quality in STEM-based science teaching-learning in secondary school. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1796, No. 1, p. 012072). IOP Publishing. Google Scholar 2
- [26] Hartley, J. (2007). Teaching, learning and new technology: a review for teachers. *British Journal* of *Educational Technology*, 38(1), 42-62. <u>Google Scholar ×</u>
- [27] Ghita R. C. (2018). Developing a Quality Management Structure for Educational System. *Young Researchers Social* Sciences, Arts and Humanities, 1(1), 78-92. <u>Google Scholar ≯</u>
- [28] Kumar, P., Shukla, B., & Passey, D. (2020). Impact of accreditation on quality and excellence of higher education institutions. *Revista Investigacion Operacional*, 41(2), 151-167. <u>Google</u> <u>Scholar</u>.



- [29] Roksa, J., Arum, R., & Cook, A. (2016). Defining and assessing learning in higher education. *Improving Quality in American Higher Education: Learning Outcomes and Assessments for the 21st Century, 1.* Google Scholar
- [30] Stella, E., & Wibisono, D. (2016, March). Proposed Integrated Performance Management System for Ministry of Research, Technology, and Higher Education in Indonesia. In 3rd International Seminar and Conference on Learning Organization (pp. 137-145). Atlantis Press. Google Scholarx
- [31] Dey, N. (2011). Quality assurance and accreditation in higher education in India. Academic Research International, 1(1), 104-110. Google Scholar →
- [32] NAAC Self-Study Report (2022). Srinivas University, Mangalore, India, Retrieved from: <u>https://assessmentonline.naac.gov.in/public/index.php/hei_dashboard</u>.
- [33] Aithal, P. S., & Maiya, A. K. (2022). Holistic Integrated Student Development Model & Service Delivery Model–A Best Practice of Srinivas University, India. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT, and Education (IJCSBE)*, 6(1), 590-616. Google Scholar ≯
- [34] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2019). Innovation in B. Tech. Curriculum as B. Tech. (Hons) by integrating STEAM, ESEP & IPR features. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT, and Education (IJCSBE), (2019), 3*(1), 56-71. <u>Google Scholar ≯</u>
- [35] Aithal, P. S., & Kumar, P. M. (2016). Student Evaluation and Reforms in Higher Education Institutions. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Modern Education (IJMRME), 2, 652-661. Google Scholar≯
- [36] Aithal, P. S. (2018). How to boost faculty research performance in HEI's to improve intellectual property by integrating it with faculty compensation–A 'theory of accountability' based framework. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS), (2018), 3*(2), 130-151. <u>Google Scholar ×</u>
- [37] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2019). New Directions in Scholarly Research–Some Fearless Innovations & Predictions for 21st Century Research. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS)*, 4(1), 1-19. <u>Google Scholar</u>≯
- [38] Aithal, P. S., Maiya, A. K., & Aithal, S. (2022). Atomic Research Centres to Intensify Research— An Innovative Approach of Srinivas University, India. *International Journal of Applied Engineering and Management Letters (IJAEML)*, 6(2), 13-35. <u>Google Scholar ≯</u>
- [39] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2019). Transforming Society by Creating Innovators through Skill & Research Focussed Education–A Case Study of Srinivas University. *International Journal of Applied Engineering and Management Letters (IJAEML)*, *3*(1), 17-37. <u>Google Scholar</u> →
- [40] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2020). Promoting Faculty and Student Centered Research and Innovation based Excellence Model to Reimage Universities. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS)*, 5(1), 24-41. Google Scholar №
- [41] Shenoy, V., & Aithal, P. S. (2016). Changing Approaches in Campus Placements-A new futuristic Model. International Journal of Scientific Research and Modern Education (IJSRME), 1(1) 766 – 776. Google Scholar≯
- [42] Aithal, P. S. (2016). Smart library model for future generations. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Modern Education (IJERME)*, *1*(1), 693-703. <u>Google Scholar</u> ≯
- [43] Aithal, P. S., & Kumar, P. M. (2016). Opportunities and challenges for private universities in India. International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering, 6(1), 88-113. Google Scholar X
- [44] Aithal, P. S., & Kumar, P. M. (2017). Challenges and Opportunities for Research & Publications in Higher Education. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Modern Education* (*IJSRME*), 2(1), 42-49. Google Scholar ×



- [45] Aithal, P. S. (2016). Study on ABCD analysis technique for business models, business strategies, operating concepts & business systems. *International Journal in Management and Social Science*, 4(1), 95-115. Google Scholar x³
- [46] Aithal, P. S., Shailashree, V., & Kumar, P. M. (2015). A new ABCD technique to analyze business models & concepts. *International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering*, 5(4), 409-423. <u>Google Scholar №</u>
- [47] Aithal, P. S. (2017). ABCD Analysis as Research Methodology in Company Case Studies. International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS), 2(2), 40-54. Google Scholarx³
- [48] Aithal, P. S., Shailashree, V., & Kumar, P. M. (2016). Application of ABCD Analysis Framework on Private University System in India. *International journal of management sciences and business research*, 5(4), 159-170. <u>Google Scholar</u> *×*
- [49] Aithal, P. S., Shailashree, V., & Kumar, P. M. (2016). ABCD analysis of Stage Model in Higher Education. International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering, 6(1), 11-24. Google Scholarx
- [50] Aithal, P. S., Shailashree, V., & Kumar, P. M. (2015). Application of ABCD Analysis Model for Black Ocean Strategy. *International journal of applied research*, 1(10), 331-337. <u>Google</u> <u>Scholar</u>[×]
- [51] Aithal, P. S., & Kumar, P. M. (2016). CCE Approach through ABCD Analysis of 'Theory A' on Organizational Performance. *International Journal of Current Research and Modern Education* (*IJCRME*), 1(2), 169-185. <u>Google Scholar ×</u>
- [52] Aithal, A., & Aithal, P. S. (2017). ABCD analysis of task shifting–an optimum alternative solution to professional healthcare personnel shortage. *International Journal of Health Sciences and Pharmacy (IJHSP)*, 1(2), 36-51. <u>Google Scholar ≯</u>
- [53] Aithal, S., & Aithal, P. S. (2016). ABCD analysis of Dye-doped Polymers for Photonic Applications. *IRA-International Journal of Applied Sciences*, 4(3), 358-378. <u>Google Scholar ≯</u>
- [54] Raj, K., & Aithal, P. S. (2018). Generating Wealth at the Base of the Pyramid–a Study Using ABCD Analysis Technique. *International Journal of Computational Research and Development* (*IJCRD*), *3*(1), 68-76. <u>Google Scholar ≯</u>
- [55] Aithal, P. S., Kumar, P. M., & Shailashree, V. (2016). Factors & elemental analysis of six thinking hats technique using ABCD framework. *International Journal of Advanced Trends in Engineering and Technology (IJATET)*, 1(1), 85-95. Google Scholar ≯
- [56] Aithal, P. S., Shailashree, V., & Kumar, P. M. (2016). Analysis of NAAC Accreditation System using ABCD framework. *International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering*, 6(1), 30-44. <u>Google Scholar ×</u>
- [57] Chandra, S. B. & Mayya, S. (2022). Performance Evaluation of Dabur India Ltd through Profitability Ratio Analysis: A Case Study. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT, and Education.* 6(1), 387-400. <u>Google Scholar</u> *∧*
- [58] Nayak, P., & Kayarkatte, N. (2022). Education for Corporate Sustainability Disclosures by Higher Educational Institutions–A Quantitative ABCD Analysis. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS)*, 7(1), 465-483. <u>Google Scholar №</u>
- [59] Vidya M. & Shailashri V. T. (2021). A Study on Evolving Digital Transformation in Indian Banking System. International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT, and Education (IJCSBE), 5(1), 116-130. Google Scholarx[↑]
- [60] Riha Parvin, S. M., & Panakaje, N., (2022). A Study on the Prospects and Challenges of Digital Financial Inclusion. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT, and Education (IJCSBE), 6*(2),469-480. <u>Google Scholar ×</u>

