# **A Basel Norms Compliance in Indian Banks**

Megha D. Shetty <sup>1</sup> & Sudhindra Bhat <sup>2</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> Research Scholar, Institute of Management and Commerce, Srinivas University, Mangalore, India-575001
 Orchid ID: 0000-0002-9158-4675; E-mail: meghadshetty29@gmail.com
 <sup>2</sup> Research Professor, Institute of Management & Commerce, Srinivas University, Mangalore, India -575001
 Orchid ID: 0000-0001-7107-7871; E-mail: drbhatt2006@gmail.com

Area/Section: Business Management. Type of the Paper: Descriptive Analysis. Type of Review: Peer Reviewed as per <u>COPE</u> guidance. Indexed in: OpenAIRE. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12590334</u> Google Scholar Citation: <u>IJMTS</u>

How to Cite this Paper:

Shetty, M. D. & Bhat, S. (2024). A Basel Norms Compliance in Indian Banks. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS), 9*(2), 238-249. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12590334</u>

**International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS)** A Refereed International Journal of Srinivas University, India.

CrossRef DOI: https://doi.org/10.47992/IJMTS.2581.6012.0354

Received on: 20/03/2024 Published on: 30/06/2024

© With Authors.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial 4.0 International License subject to proper citation to the publication source of the work. **Disclaimer:** The scholarly papers as reviewed and published by Srinivas Publications (S.P.), India are the views and opinions of their respective authors and are not the views or opinions of the SP. The SP disclaims of any harm or loss caused due to the published content to any party.



# **A Basel Norms Compliance in Indian Banks**

Megha D. Shetty <sup>1</sup> & Sudhindra Bhat <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Scholar, Institute of Management and Commerce, Srinivas University, Mangalore, India-575001

Orchid ID: 0000-0002-9158-4675; E-mail: meghadshetty29@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Research Professor, Institute of Management & Commerce, Srinivas University, Mangalore,

India -575001

Orchid ID: 0000-0001-7107-7871; E-mail: drbhatt2006@gmail.com

# ABSTRACT

**Purpose:** The object of this paper is to examine the phased implementation of Basel standards Basel-I, and Basel II and a detailed analysis of Basel-III since 1994 and analyze the challenges encountered throughout this process.

**Methodology:** A range of sources, such as journals, websites, studies, and publications was used to gather information. To offer a thorough summary of the subject, details have been considered and combined.

**Findings:** The Study has revealed that the formulation of Basel norms primarily considers Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) countries rather than developing countries. Consequently, banks in developing countries may experience a significant decline in return on capital (ROA) due to these new standards. India is currently at a crossroads, striving to balance the achievement of social objectives such as financial inclusion with the creation of a resilient financial system capable of absorbing financial shocks. **Originality**: The Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS) introduced a proposed accord in 1988, which was later adopted in India in April 1994. Over time, India implemented Basel-II and Basel-III norms in 2009 and 2013 respectively. These stringent capital adequacy requirements are for Indian banks, as their capital needs are projected to increase by the present.

**Utilitarian Implication:** The crisis prompted to strengthening of banks worldwide by implementing a comprehensive regulatory framework to calculate Credit Risk risk-weighted asset Ratio (CRAR), considering credit market and operational risks. Indian banks have been adhering to Basel-III norms since 2013.

**Research Type:** Descriptive Quantitative Study.

**Keywords:** Banking Industry, BASEL III, Capital Adequacy Ratio, Rural banks and SWOT analysis.

# 1. INTRODUCTION :

The 2008 global financial crisis brought to light serious flaws in the banking industry and emphasized the necessity of stricter rules and risk control procedures. (Ayadi, R. et al. (2016). [1]). Through tighter capital requirements, better risk management, and greater accountability, these policies seek to improve the banking system's stability and resilience.

With the incorporation of the economic recession's lessons and the resolution of the flaws of the preceding rules, BASEL III expands upon the frameworks of BASEL I and BASEL II. The primary objective of BASEL III help banks keeps sufficient capital buffers to withstand financial shocks and mitigate risks. Concerning BASEL III, the increased capital requirements are meant to make banks stronger and less likely to collapse (Syed, A. A. (2024). [2]). By maintaining higher capital buffers, Banks are more suited to absorb losses and continue operating during periods of financial stress.

To mitigate the liquidity concerns that banks confront, BASEL III also imposes stronger restrictions on liquidity. Both a net stable funding ratio (NSFR) and a minimum liquidity coverage ratio (LCR) must be sustained by banks (Mashamba, T., & Magweva, R. (2019). [3]). The LCR guarantees to have a high calibre to cover their short-term demands, whereas the NSFR encourages the preservation of steady financing sources over an extended period. BASEL III highlights the significance of good risk



management procedures in addition to monetary and financial requirements (Shetty, M. D., & Bhat, S. (2022). [4]). Strong risk assessment and measurement systems, including scenario analysis and stress testing, are mandated for banks. The regulations encourage banks to adopt more conservative approaches to risk-weighting assets, particularly for complex and high-risk exposures. BASEL III promotes enhanced governance and transparency (Fratianni, M., & Pattison, J. C. (2015). [5]). It establishes strong internal control mechanisms, improves risk disclosure practices, and enhances their risk culture (Prakash, A., et al. (2018). [6]). The principal also emphasizes the role of supervisors in ensuring compliance and conducting regular assessments of banks' risk management practices.

Various jurisdictions adopted the requirements at various costs, and BASEL III is a protracted process. The restrictions present difficulties for banks, even if their goal is to decrease systemic risks and improve the banking industry (Shetty, M. D., & Nikhitha, M. K. (2022). [7]). Banks may need to raise more capital or modify their business models to comply with the increased capital and liquidity requirements.

#### 2. RELATED RESEARCH WORK :

The subject of Basel Norms Compliance in Indian Banks and how they improve the banking industry. The literature review helped in understanding the existing knowledge and research gaps in the field. Below is a list of the several authors that have contributed to this subject. A comprehensive literature search is carried out using the Google Scholar database, using the terms "Basel Norms" and "Capital adequacy ratio," focusing on works published between 2011 and 2024.

SI.	Field of Research	Focus	<b>Outcome/Observation</b>	References
No				
1	Impact of Basel III Norms on Banking Sector in Emerging Markets.	Examining and understanding the many reformatory actions carried out by international financial authorities under Basel III and other efforts was the main objective of this article.	The report suggests that banks should prioritize improving their risk management practices over expanding their capital bases.	Sabunwala, (2012). [8]
2	Shift from Basel II to Basel III – A Reporting Perspective	Intends to draw attention to the incremental changes made to the Basel Accords	Based on an analysis of the annual reports of the major for the year 2010–11.	Sikdar & Makkad, (2014). [9]
3	Basel III Norms and Indian Banking: Assessment and Emerging Challenges	The article aims to provide an overview of the changes that have occurred in the banking sector since the 1991 reforms, justify the introduction of Basel III to the Indian banking sector, introduce the Basel standards framework, and discuss how Indian banks are adhering to the Basel regulations.	PSU banks are less suited to comply with Basel III regulations than private sector banks due to their lower capital adequacy ratios and less advanced financial expertise.	Balasubramani am, (2012). [10]
4	Basel II in India: compliance and challenges	This article's main focus is on how the Indian banking industry is implementing the Basel II framework. Other topics covered include the Reserve Bank of India's role, the difficulties	As per the research, the RBI has implemented the Basel II requirements in the Indian financial system by taking noteworthy and comprehensive measures.	Kaur & Kapoor, (2011). [11]

**Table 1:** Displays the key findings.



		the Indian banking sector faces, and the steps needed to ensure a seamless implementation of Basel II standards.		
5	Basel I and Basel II compliance issues for banks in India.	This study aims to determine the financial elements that impact Indian banks' compliance with Basel I and Basel II. Additionally, it seeks to comprehend how various banks manage to meet the minimal capital requirements while maintaining their primary business activities of loan growth and profitability.	The result demonstrates how credit risk-weighted assets, or the risk in a private sector bank's loan portfolio, influence and direct them. Capital, return on assets, and credit deposit ratio all have an impact on public sector banks.	Banerjee, (2012). [12]
6	Basel Norms Compliance in India	The purpose of this study is to examine the challenges that arose during the 1994– 1995 phased implementation of Basel I– Basel III standards.	The result demonstrates the stability of the financial system, which is important in an age where, thanks to technological improvements, news of economic hardship in one country can travel the world like wildfire.	Swami, (2016). [13]
7	Basel Banking Norm	The difficulties posed by in the Indian setting, and critiques of the framework's efficacy.	The primary conclusions include an outline of Basel III's development and effects and expert perspectives.	Shenoy et al., (2014). [14]
8	Basel II norms with special emphasis on the capital adequacy ratio of Indian banks	Basel's effects on banking systems	The outcome indicates that higher IT efficiency scores than State Bank group institutions and Public Sector banks.	Pasha et al., (2012). [15]
9	What Are the Differences in the Area of Profitability and Efficiency When Early and Late Adopters Are Analyzed Regarding the Basel III Leverage Ratio?	Understanding the effect of Basel III adoption on bank profitability and efficiency is aided by the study's novel categorization of institutions into earlier and later adopters.	The result helps banks become more profitable and efficient when Basel III regulatory standards are implemented.	Bolfek et al., (2024). [16]
10	Regional Rural Bank's Financial Performance	This report offers valuable insights into how Basel III has affected the macro	The result indicates that Indian banks would face difficulties integrating	Mohan & Madhu, (2023). [17]



SRINIVAS PUBLICATION

omy, non-performing	Basel III, including reduced	
s (NPAs), capital	profits, increased non-	
, and necessary	performing assets, and	
fications to the	increased borrowing costs.	
ng system in India	_	
to an imbalance in	The	Coban,
rces and negotiating	study primarily finds policy	(2020). [18]
ience, the regulator	which is formed at the	
able to overcome the	international level, and	
	domestic political	
U	1	
	0	
article aims to	The outcome of the paper	Lobo & Jain,
ain modifications in	conveys that Banks have	(2018). [19]
asset quality of two	taken the lead in lowering	
inent Indian banks.	NPAs through their decline	
	in NPAs and this has	
	strengthened banks' asset	
	0	
	, and necessary fications to the ng system in India to an imbalance in rces and negotiating ience, the regulator able to overcome the ast of the banking r and obtain 100% diance with Basel III.	<ul> <li>(NPAs), capital profits, increased non-performing assets, and increased borrowing costs.</li> <li>The performing assets, and increased borrowing costs.</li> <li>To an imbalance in rces and negotiating ience, the regulator able to overcome the st of the banking r and obtain 100% diance with Basel III.</li> <li>article aims to tain modifications in asset quality of two inent Indian banks.</li> </ul>

# 3. RESEARCH GAP :

The evidence gaps in research are to provide the evolution of these standards, we can gain insights into the motivations and goals behind their implementation and the changes made over time. Basel norms have influenced the behaviour of banks, the regulatory landscape and supervisory practices in the country.

# 4. RESEARCH AGENDA :

This study intended to review the current corpus of information on banking rules and offer guidance to Indian policymakers, regulators, and banking institutions so they may decide on risk management, capital sufficiency, and overall financial stability.

# 5. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY :

- (1) To examine the evolution of Basel standards. This involves understanding the creation and advancement of the Basel framework from Basel I norms to Basel III requirements today.
- (2) To analyze the transition of Indian banks in adopting and implementing the Basel standards. This involves examining the journey of Indian banks from the initial adoption of Basel I to the subsequent adoption of Basel II and Basel III.
- (3) To investigate the effects of Basel regulations on India's banking sector. This entails examining how Basel I, Basel II, and Basel III have influenced several facets of the Indian banking sector, including capital adequacy, risk management practices, liquidity management, and overall financial stability.

# 6. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY :

This study relies on secondary sources of data to fulfil its objectives. The research methodology involves the collection and analysis of data from a range of sources, including research reports, working papers, books, and journal articles. The following steps were undertaken to conduct the study:

- 1. Data Collection: Collected information from different sources, including online databases, academic journals, and reputable research institutions. The data collected included research reports, working papers, books, and journal articles that provided insights into the evolution of Basel standards and their implementation in India.
- 2. Data Analysis: The collected data was analyzed to extract relevant information and key findings, which involved summarising and synthesising the information from different sources



to present a comprehensive overview of the evolution of Basel standards, the transition of Indian banks, and the impact of Basel norms on the banking system in India.

### 7. BASEL NORMS :

#### **Basel I Norms:**

Basel Capital Accord is an international banking regulation that imposes minimum capital needs for banks. While Basel I is not specific to Indian rural banking, It has an impact on every bank that operates in India, including rural banks.

It mandates that banks retain a minimum capital adequacy ratio (CAR) of 8% in the context of rural banking in India, with a minimum of 4% in Tier 1 capital. A bank's capital about its risk-weighted assets is measured by the CAR (Shetty, M. D., & Bhat, S. (2023). [20]). It guarantees that banks have enough money to cover losses and preserve stability.

For rural banks in India, such as Regional Rural Banks (RRBs) and Cooperative Banks, the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) has specific regulatory frameworks and guidelines that take into account their unique characteristics and the nature of their operations in rural areas. These regulations may have specific provisions and exemptions for rural banks to ensure their viability and support rural development.

While Basel I provide a general framework for capital adequacy, the RBI's guidelines for rural banks may have additional requirements or modifications to suit the specific needs and challenges of rural banking (Jasrotia, S. S. et al. (2020). [21]). These guidelines may consider factors such as the composition of rural banks' loan portfolios, the nature of their customers, and the economic conditions in rural areas. To maintain the stability and soundness of its operations, it must adhere to both the Basel I regulations and the particular directives provided by the RBI. Respecting these rules aids in preserving depositor confidence, advancing monetary inclusion in rural communities, and bolstering the economy.

#### **Basel II Norms:**

The first Norm structure is expanded upon by world banking governance, which also imposes stricter capital sufficiency and risk handling standards on banks. The BCBS implemented it in 2004 to improve the banking system's stability and soundness.

Basel II introduces three pillars:

- 1. Minimum Capital Requirements: Mandates that banks have a minimum capital adequacy ratio. However, Basel II introduces more risk-sensitive approaches to calculate capital requirements based on credit, market, and operational risks. Banks are required to assess the risk profile of their assets and assign appropriate risk weights to calculate the capital required for each type of risk.
- 2. Supervisory Review Process: Basel II introduces a supervisory review process to assess banks' risk management practices and money to cover their risks. This process involves regular assessments and stress tests conducted by regulators. Strong risk management frameworks, including mechanisms for assessing and controlling credit risk, market risk, and operational risk, are necessary for banks to have.
- 3. Market Discipline: Basel II requires banks to provide extra details concerning their level of risk, capital adequacy, and risk management procedures to improve market discipline. The goal of this greater openness is to empower market players to choose banks with more knowledge. Regular public disclosures by banks on their risk exposures, capital adequacy, and risk management procedures are mandated.

Basel II provides a more comprehensive and risk-sensitive structure for banks to evaluate and manage their risks Shetty, (M. D., & Bhat, S. (2022). [22]). It pushes banks to keep sufficient capital to cover their risks and implement more advanced risk management techniques. Basel II seeks to do this by strengthening bank resilience and lowering the probability of financial catastrophes.

#### **Basel III Norms:**

It builds upon the previous Basel frameworks, Basel I and Basel II, and it seeks to enhance risk management procedures while fortifying the financial industry's resiliency (Shetty, M. D., & Bhat, S. (2022). [23]).

Basel III introduces several key reforms and enhancements to the banking regulations, including:



- Capital Requirements: Basel III increases the minimal capital demanded by banks. This
  increases the existing Basel II minimum to 4.5% of risk-weighted assets (RWA) For Common
  Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital, banks must maintain a capital conservation buffer equivalent to
  2.5% of Risk-Weighted Assets (RWA) to prepare for future crises. Liquidity Requirements:
  Basel III imposes limits on banks' liquidity to make sure they have enough to withstand funding
  stress in the short term. It presents the LCR, which mandates that banks have sufficient highgrade liquid assets on hand to offset their net cash withdrawals during a 30-day stress test. The
  NSFR, which attempts to encourage less volatile and long-term funding sources, is also
  introduced (Shetty, M. D., & Bhat, S. (2022). [24]).
- 2. Leverage Ratio: A bank's capital is compared to its overall exposure using a leverage ratio that is new to Basel III. This indicates that a bank's Tier 1 capital must represent a minimum of 3% of its overall exposure. It establishes a minimum leverage ratio of 3%.

Counterparty Credit Risk: Basel III introduces reforms to tackle the dangers connected to over-thecounter (OTC) derivatives and other counterparty exposures. Banks are required to account for and maintain capital from counterparty credit risk.

3. Systemically Important Banks: Basel III introduces further specifications for globally systemically vital banks also referred to as systemically important banks (G-SIBs). These banks are subject to higher capital requirements, additional capital buffers, and enhanced transparency and risk management regulations.

Basel III aims to future financial crises, improve risk management practices, and enhance resilience. It has been adopted by regulators worldwide, including the RBI for Indian banks. To enhance the stability and soundness issued guidelines and regulations that mandate Indian banks to comply with Basel III standards.

#### The importance of BASEL III:

It is critical to the bank since it helps avert future financial disasters. BASEL III ensures banks have sufficient capital, liquidity, and leverage to weather unforeseen events and economic crises by enacting stronger requirements in these areas.

A key element of BASEL III is the need that banks to hold bigger levels of capital, which acts as a cushion against potential losses (Dominic, J. et al. (2024). [25]). This not only increases the resilience of individual institutions but also fortifies the whole financial system.

Moreover, BASEL III improves leverage ratios to solve the matter of excessive leverage., thereby curbing the excessive risk-taking behaviour observed before the financial crisis.

Overall, BASEL III contributes substantially to the banking industry's fostering of resilience, stability, and caution ultimately safeguarding the interests of depositors, investors, and the wider economy.

#### Key features:

It brings several key features that seek stability and soundness to the bank. The following traits can be classified into three main categories: leverage ratio, liquidity management, and capital sufficiency.

- i. The necessity of maintaining stronger capital adequacy standards for banks. Thus, banks need to have adequate capital to absorb potential losses and withstand financial shocks. By implementing stricter capital requirements, BASEL III ensures that banks are more capable of managing challenging economic circumstances.
- ii. To fulfil their short-term responsibilities, banks must have a minimum amount of liquid assets. This assists in averting scenarios in which banks encounter insufficient liquidity, potentially leading to financial system instability. Bank failures brought on by liquidity mismatches are less likely because of improved liquidity risk management provided by BASEL III.
- iii. By imposing stricter leverage ratios, regulators seek to restrict the quantity of debt that banks can take on relative to their capital. This serves as a safeguard against excessive risk-taking and ensures that banks do not become overly leveraged, which can amplify potential losses and destabilize the financial system.



It is to reinforce capital adequacy, improve liquidity management, and reduce excessive leverage (Taskinsoy, J. (2018). [26]). These features work together to promote a more stable and resilient banking industry, ultimately benefitting depositors and investors

#### **Implications and challenges of implementing BASEL III:**

While BASEL III rules are intended to improve the safety and security of the banking industry, their implementation has several ramifications and challenges.

A major endeavour, particularly for smaller banks that would draw in fresh capital or turn a profit. Moreover, the cost of capital can lead to higher borrowing costs for consumers and businesses, potentially impacting economic growth (Zarafat, H., & Prabhune, P. A. (2018). [27]).

Another implication is a more robust risk management framework. With the introduction of liquidity requirements, banks will have to carefully manage their cash reserves and ensure they have enough liquid assets to meet short-term obligations. This could require significant changes to existing systems and additional investment in technology and staff training.

Additionally, the stricter leverage ratios may result in banks having to reduce their lending activities (Sultana, J., & Sharmin, S. (2015). [28]). This could potentially limit access to credit for individuals and businesses, particularly those with higher credit risk. Balancing financial stability which supports economic growth is a delicate challenge that regulators and banks must navigate.

#### **Compliance requirements for banks under BASEL III:**

Complying with BASEL III regulations is crucial for banks to maintain stability and meet the expectations of regulators. These regulations outline specific requirements that banks must adhere to ensure adequate capital and liquidity levels. Banks must maintain a minimum capital level, of their risk-weighted assets. Banks will need to carefully analyze their current capital position and develop strategies to raise additional capital if necessary.

It also introduces liquidity requirements. Banks must continue to maintain a certain level of liquidity coverage, which assesses a bank's capacity to pay short-term debts under pressure. A specific level of liquid, high-quality assets. Meeting this requirement may necessitate adjustments to a bank's asset and liability structure and liquidity management practices.

It introduces stricter leverage ratio requirements. This ratio helps assess the riskiness of a bank's balance sheet and limits excessive borrowing. To satisfy the ratio requirements, banks might need to carefully control their leverage and perhaps limit risky assets (Zhu, C., & Chen, L. (2016). [29]). Make necessary adjustments to develop a structure for risk management, and put in place reliable systems and procedures to monitor and report their compliance. Implementation timelines may vary across jurisdictions, and banks must stay updated on regulatory changes to ensure ongoing compliance.

#### **Benefits of adhering to BASEL III guidelines:**

By complying with these regulations, banks can enhance their financial stability and resilience, contributing to a stronger and more trustworthy banking system.

The guidelines are intended to improve risk control procedures, guaranteeing banks are better prepared to identify, assess, and mitigate risks. This helps banks make more informed decisions and reduces financial crises (Poposka, K., & Trpkoski, M. (2013). [30]). By meeting higher capital adequacy and liquidity requirements, banks can demonstrate their ability to absorb shocks and fulfil their financial obligations. These fosters trust among investors and depositors, boosting stability. Another advantage is the potential for reduced borrowing costs (Saleem, K. (2017). [31]). Banks that meet regulatory requirements are likely to have better credit ratings, enabling them to borrow funds at more favourable rates. This can result in significant cost savings for banks and ultimately benefit their customers.

Furthermore, its compliance promotes a more level playing field among banks. The regulations are adopted globally, creating a standardized framework for risk assessment and capital requirements. This helps prevent regulatory arbitrage It guarantees uniform regulations and protections for all banks. For economic expansion and development, a stable financial system is necessary. With a healthy capital structure and good risk control procedures, banks are better positioned to support lending and investment activities, fostering economic stability and progress.



#### 8. SWOT ANALYSIS ON BASEL III NORMS FOR RURAL BANKS :

The banking industry may be used to assess its opportunities, threats, weaknesses, and strengths by SWOT analysis. It's a good tool to have when planning a company's development or expansion (Aithal, P. S. (2017). [32]). Where to focus your efforts and resources may be determined with information gathered from a SWOT (Aithal, P. S. (2017). [33]).

#### **Strengths:**

- 1. Enhanced Financial Stability: The implementation of BASEL III norms in rural banks in India can contribute to improved financial stability. The Banks require greater capital adequacy ratios to comply with regulations, which can improve their capacity to absorb financial shocks and mitigate risks.
- 2. Risk Management: BASEL III norms emphasize the importance of effective risk management practices. This can benefit rural banks in India by encouraging them to adopt robust risk assessment and mitigation strategies, leading to better management of credit, market, and operational risks.
- 3. Improved Governance and Transparency: The implementation of BASEL III norms promotes enhanced governance and transparency which helps rural banks in India to establish stronger internal control mechanisms, improve accountability, and build trust among stakeholders.

#### Weaknesses:

- 1. Capital Constraints: Rural banks in India may face challenges in meeting the higher capital requirements mandated by BASEL III norms. Limited access to capital and profitability constraints could pose difficulties for these banks in maintaining the prescribed capital adequacy ratios.
- 2. Compliance Costs: Implementing BASEL III norms involves significant costs, including technology upgrades, staff training, and compliance monitoring. For rural banks with limited resources, these costs may strain their financial capabilities and hinder their ability to comply with the norms effectively.

#### **Opportunities:**

- 1. Enhanced Risk Assessment: The implementation of BASEL III norms can provide an opportunity for rural banks in India to strengthen their risk assessment capabilities (Suharti, E., & Ardiansyah, T.E. (2020). [34]. By adopting advanced risk measurement techniques and tools it enables the bank to identify its ability and manage risks effectively.
- 2. Access to Funding: Compliance with BASEL III norms can enhance the credibility of rural banks in India, making them more attractive to investors and lenders. This can potentially increase their access to funding, enabling them to expand their operations and support the development of rural areas.

#### Threats:

- 1. Impact on Lending to Rural Areas: The stricter capital requirements under BASEL III norms may lead to a reduction in lending capacity for rural banks (Haralayya, D., & Aithal, P. S. (2021). [35]). This might limit their ability to provide loans to people living in rural areas, which would hinder the expansion and improvement of local economies.
- 2. Competitive Disadvantage: Rural banks in India may face a competitive disadvantage compared to larger banks in meeting the requirements of BASEL III norms. The additional compliance burden and costs could make it challenging for these banks to compete effectively in the market.

# 9. FINDINGS :

The findings of the study were presented lucidly and comprehensively. The information gathered from the literature review and data analysis was an organized and structured study. The findings were presented in a logical sequence, highlighting the key conclusions and revelations from the secondary sources. Researchers and policymakers can benefit greatly from the study's conclusions, and banking



professionals are interested in understanding the implications of Basel norms on the Indian banking sector.

#### **10. LIMITATIONS :**

The study relies on secondary sources of data, there may be limitations in terms of data availability and accuracy. The study is also limited to the information and insights provided by the selected sources. Additionally, the study was on the evolution of Basel standards, the transition of Indian banks in adopting these standards, and the impact of Basel norms on the banking system in India, therefore the data collection or an empirical analysis may limit the depth of analysis and the ability to draw causal relationships.

#### 11. CONCLUSION:

The implementation of BASEL III norms in rural banks in India presents both opportunities and challenges. While the norms can contribute to enhanced financial stability, risk management, and governance, rural banks may face difficulties in meeting the higher capital requirements and compliance costs. However, by leveraging the opportunities to strengthen risk assessment capabilities and access funding, rural banks can navigate these challenges and contribute to the sustainable development of rural areas in India. Policymakers and stakeholders must provide support and instructions to make sure the switch to BASEL III goes smoothly norms for rural banks, enabling them to fulfil their role in promoting inclusive and sustainable growth.

#### **REFERENCES :**

- [1] Ayadi, R., Naceur, S. B., Casu, B., & Quinn, B. (2016). Does Basel compliance matter for bank performance? *Journal of Financial Stability*, 23(1), 15-32. <u>Google Scholar</u> ≯
- [2] Syed, A. A. (2024). Economic policy uncertainty and Indian banking stability: the mediating role of regulation and supervision. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance*, *32*(1), 47-60. <u>Google Scholar ×</u>
- [3] Mashamba, T., & Magweva, R. (2019). Basel III LCR requirement and banks' deposit funding: empirical evidence from emerging markets. *Journal of Central Banking Theory and Practice*, 8(2), 101-128. <u>Google Scholar ≯</u>
- [4] Shetty, M. D., & Bhat, S. (2022). Comparative Study on Selected Regional Rural Banks of South India. International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT and Education (IJCSBE), 6(2), 305-319. Google Scholarx
- [5] Fratianni, M., & Pattison, J. C. (2015). Basel III in reality. *Journal of Economic Integration*, 30(1), 1-28. <u>Google Scholar ×</u>
- [6] Prakash, A., Kumar, K., & Srivastava, A. (2018). Consolidation in the Indian banking sector: Evaluation of sustainable development readiness of the public sector banks in India. *International Journal of Sustainable Strategic Management*, 6(1), 3-16. <u>Google Scholar λ</u>
- Shetty, M. D., & Nikhitha, M. K. (2022). Impact of Information Technology on the Banking Sector. *International Journal of Management, Technology and Social Sciences (IJMTS)*, 7(2), 634-646. <u>Google Scholar</u> *A*
- [8] Sabunwala, Z. Z. (2012). Impact of Basel III Norms on Banking Sector in Emerging Markets. *IBMRD's Journal of Management & Research*, 1(1), 34-40. <u>Google Scholar</u>≯
- [9] Sikdar, P., & Makkad, M. (2014). Shift from Basel II to Basel III A Reporting Perspective on Indian Banking Sector. International Journal of Computational Engineering & Management, 17(1), 35-41. Google Scholarx<sup>3</sup>
- [10] Balasubramaniam, C. S. (2012). Basel III Norms and Indian Banking: Assessment and Emerging Challenges. ABHINAV: National Monthly Refereed Journal of Research in Commerce and Management, 1(8), 39-52. <u>Google Scholar</u>
- [11] Kaur, M., & Kapoor, S. (2011). Basel II in India: compliance and challenges. *Management and Labour Studies*, *36*(4), 299-318. <u>Google Scholar ×</u><sup>↑</sup>



- [12] Banerjee, S. (2012). Basel 1 and Basel 11 compliance issues for banks in India. *Macroeconomics* and Finance in Emerging Market Economies, 5(2), 228-245. Google Scholar≯
- [13] Swami, M. (2016). Basel norms compliance in India: Issues and concerns. *Munich Personal RePEc Archive, 1*(1), 1-11. <u>Google Scholar ×</u>
- [14] Shenoy, A., Mohane, Y., & Singh, C. (2014). Basel Banking Norms–A Primer. *IIM Bangalore Research Paper*, 1(1), 1-44. <u>Google Scholar≯</u>
- [15] Pasha, M. A., Srivenkataramana, T., & Swamy, K. (2012). Basel II norms with special emphasis on the capital adequacy ratio of Indian banks. *A Journal of MP Birla Institute of Management*, 6(1), 23-40. <u>Google Scholar ×</u>
- [16] Bolfek, M., Mažer, K. P., & Bolfek, B. (2024). What Are the Differences in the Area of Profitability and Efficiency When Early and Late Adopters Are Analyzed Regarding the Basel III Leverage Ratio?. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 17(1), 1-16. <u>Google Scholar ×</u>
- [17] Mohan, V. K., & Madhu, N. (2023). Regional Rural Bank's Financial Performance Based on the BASEL III Framework–An Evaluative Study on Andhra Pradesh Grameena Vikas Bank, India. *resmilitaris*, 13(2), 5939-5959. <u>Google Scholar</u> <sup>3</sup>
- [18] Coban, M. K. (2020). Compliance forces, domestic policy process, and international regulatory standards: Compliance with Basel III. *Business and Politics*, 22(1), 161-195. <u>Google Scholar</u> →
- [19] Lobo, C. M., & Jain, R. (2018). Analyzing the Effect of Basel-III Norma on Asset Quality of Commercial Banks in India. *International Journal of innovative Science and Research Technology*, 3(6), 2456-2156. <u>Google Scholar ×</u>
- [20] Shetty, M. D., & Bhat, S. (2023). Review of Regional Rural Banks that use the CAMELS Model to Analyze Performance. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT and Education (IJCSBE)*, 7(1), 164-196. <u>Google Scholar ×</u>
- [21] Jasrotia, S. S., Mishra, H. G., & Sharma, R. L. (2020). Capital adequacy norms: banks compliance with Basel-III norms. *International Journal of Electronic Banking*, 2(1), 16-37. <u>Google Scholar ×</u>
- [22] Bandyopadhyay, A. (2023). Why Indian Banks Need to Adopt the Basel III Internal Ratings-based Approach. *Economic & Political Weekly*, 58(22), 10-13. <u>Google Scholar ≯</u>
- [23] Shetty, M. D., & Bhat, S. (2022). A Case Study on the Growth of Rural Banking in India. International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT and Education (IJCSBE), 6(2), 346-357. Google Scholarx<sup>3</sup>
- [24] Shetty, M. D., & Bhat, S. (2022). How Bad Banks will help to Tackle NPA. *International Journal* of Management, Technology and Social Sciences (IJMTS), 7(2), 435-443. Google Scholar≯
- [25] Dominic, J., Joseph, A., & Sisodia, G. (2024). The Role of the Banking Sector in Economic Resurgence and Resilience: Evidence from Pacific Island Countries. *Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management*, 1(1), 1-22. <u>Google Scholar</u>.
- [26] Taskinsoy, J. (2018). Effects of Basel III Higher Capital and Liquidity Requirements on Banking Sectors across the Main South East Asian Nations. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, 9(4), 214-237. <u>Google Scholar →</u>
- [27] Zarafat, H., & Prabhune, P. A. (2018). Analysing the Determinants of Total Risk in Basel III Transition Era: Evidence from Indian Public and Private Sector Banks. Asian journal of management sciences & education, 7(3), 67-77. Google Scholar №
- [28] Sultana, J., & Sharmin, S. (2015). Basel III: challenges for Bangladesh banking system. *Journal* of Business, 36(3). 220-236. Google Scholar ×
- [29] Zhu, C., & Chen, L. (2016). An empirical study on the capital buffer of rural commercial banks in China. *Journal of Finance and Economics*, 4(3), 97-102. <u>Google Scholar ×</u>



- [30] Poposka, K., & Trpkoski, M. (2013). Secondary capital adequacy management model with overview of Basel III-Case on the banking sector in the Republic of Macedonia. *TIJ's Research Journal of Economics & Business Studies–RJEBS*, 2(7), 21-32. <u>Google Scholar ×</u>
- [31] Saleem, K. (2017). Islamic Banks Financial Performance and Implications of Basel III Standards in the GCC: An Empirical Analysis. *Review of Economics and Finance*, 7(1), 80-97. <u>Google</u> <u>Scholar</u>≯
- [32] Aithal, P. S. (2017). ABCD Analysis as Research Methodology in Company Case Studies. International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS), 2(2), 40-54. Google Scholarx<sup>3</sup>
- [33] Aithal, P. S. (2017). Industry Analysis–The First Step in Business Management Scholarly Research. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT and Education (IJCSBEus factors canio), 1*(1), 1-13. <u>Google Scholar</u>×
- [34] Suharti, E., & Ardiansyah, T.E. (2020). Fintech Implementation on the Financial Performance of Rural Credit Banks. *Jurnal Akuntansi*, 24(1), 234-249. <u>Google Scholar ≯</u>
- [35] Haralayya, D., & Aithal, P. S. (2021). Analysis of cost efficiency on scheduled commercial banks in India. *International Journal of Current Research*, *13*(6), 17718-17725. <u>Google Scholar ≯</u>
- [36] Banu, M., & Vepa, S. (2021). A Financial Performance of Indian Banks Using CAMELS Rating System. Journal of Contemporary Issues in Business and Government, 27(1), 2135, 2153. Google Scholar≯

\*\*\*\*\*\*

